

## QACEP – FINAL LIST OF EVALUATION ACTIVITIES

### PUBLIC INFORMATIVE REPORT

- 1) **Evaluation of WPs by WP Leaders and Coordinator:** Coordinator provided to Partners forms to be filled at the end of WP2 and at the end of July for WP3-WP4-WP5 but the main monitoring activities run through intensive exchange of communications, virtual meetings and regular re-definition of deadlines. Feedbacks given by Coordinator during management team meetings on the basis of indicators selected in the evaluation grid.
- 2) **WP final products have been analysed (with formulation of feedbacks on usability) by experts on the topic of project not involved in project activities:**
  - a. WP2 – Comparative Analyses:
    - i. Coimbra Group Task Force comments (as envisaged in initial plan – attached the questionnaire filled)  
Evaluators:  
“In general a very convincing and informative survey!”  
  
“My basic statement about quality assurance is simple. “If your quality is poor the customers will disappear immediately”. The quality systems you institutionalise won’t help because you already lost your position in the market before the system can warn you. It only helps you from losing money in creating bad programs.”
    - ii. reviewers of the paper approved for the EQAF forum  
Reviewer 1:  
"In section 3, under “check and act”, there are some lessons that have been learned. It might be useful to pull these out and highlight them more as they could act, in addition to the questions, as a stimulus for discussion."  
  
Reviewer 2:  
"Interesting paper; some more elaboration on the results, making differences and similarities more clear would have been interesting however."
  - b. WP3 – QACEP Framework:
    - i. Internal analysis from Partners: IL3-UB had also feedbacks from Quality Assurance Agency of the University of Barcelona (cf. D.5.2)
    - ii. Comments from Hans-Rudolf Frey (SWISSUNI): discussion and oral feedbacks with QACEP staff during the EQAF forum and presentation of a comparison between QACEP Framework characteristics and the characteristics of the theoretical model developed by Swiss Universities in a national project. (cf D. 6.2)



- c. WP4-5 – QACEP tools
  - i. No comments and evaluation other than the ones from QACEP staff and Programme managers involved in the Pilot.

**2) Evaluation of Events through questionnaires aiming also at monitoring the correct implementation of WP activities (attached questionnaires results)**